

From: [Wim Dankbaar](#)

To: [h.n.brouwer](#)

Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 9:51 AM

Subject: Verzoek aan de heer Brouwer

Geachte heer Brouwer,

Kunt u in het kader van objectieve waarheidsvinding in de Deventer Moordzaak de heer Stockton per email toestemming geven om de onderstaande vragen te beantwoorden?

Zijn email adres is: tony.stockton@fss.pnn.police.uk

Met verschuldigde dank en vriendelijke groet,

Wim Dankbaar

Dear Mr. Stockton,

For your reference the pertaining documents can be found here:

<http://jfkmurdersolved.com/schrijfproef.htm>

I would appreciate your opinion on the following issues, which may be new to you:

1) A number of forensic handwriting experts have noticed a similarity between the handwritings of test N2 and N3. You were probably not asked to look at similarities between the tests themselves, as one may assume integrity that all four tests were written by different persons.

However, with this new information, I would like to ask you: What is your opinion about the claim N2 and N3 were written by the same author?

2) In test N2 (Van Roemburg) a partial sentence is missing. At the end of page 1 the line should read (as in the other tests):

Daarvoor gebruikten ze elke keer een cheque van tweehonderd (200) en een cheque van driehonderd euro (300). Aan geschenken gaven ze ongeveer honderd euro (100) extra uit.

But it reads in fact as follows:

Daarvoor gebruikten ze (line stops at end of page)
(next page) ongeveer honderd euro (100) extra uit.

Hence the following text is missing:

elke keer een cheque van tweehonderd (200) en een cheque van driehonderd euro (300). Aan geschenken gaven ze

What do you make of this?

I would appreciate your opinions on these two questions and look forward to your reply,

With best regards,